

[Counsel Information Listed On Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

No. 3:14-CV-03348-EMC

**UPDATED JOINT STATUS REPORT,  
STIPULATED REQUEST TO CONTINUE  
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE,  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FUJITSU NETWORK  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Defendant.

No. 3:14-CV-03349-EMC  
(related case)

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELLABS OPERATIONS, INC. AND  
CORIANT (USA) INC.,

Defendant.

No. 3:14-CV-03350-EMC  
(related case)

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIENA CORPORATION,

Defendant.

No. 3:14-CV-03351-EMC  
(related case)

1           Lead counsel for Plaintiff Capella Photonics, Inc. (“Capella” or “Plaintiff”), and  
2 Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”), Ciena Corporation (“Ciena”), Fujitsu Network  
3 Communications, Inc. (“FNC”), Coriant Operations, Inc. (formerly Tellabs Operations, Inc.),  
4 and Coriant (USA) Inc. (Coriant entities collectively “Coriant”) (collectively “Defendants”)  
5 (together with Plaintiff, “the parties”) submit this Updated Joint Status Report for the above-  
6 captioned cases (collectively, the “Related Actions”) pursuant to the Court’s September 21,  
7 2016 Order (Dkt. No. 179).

8           Pursuant to the Court’s March 6, 2015 Order, the Related Actions are “stayed in their  
9 entirety pending final exhaustion of the relevant review proceedings, including any appeals.”  
10 (Dkt. No. 172 at 6). The parties hereby apprise the Court of the status of the inter partes  
11 review (“IPR”) proceedings initiated by Cisco and additional inter partes review proceedings  
12 concerning the Patents in Suit (U.S. Patent Nos. RE42,368 (“’368 Patent”) and RE42,678  
13 (“’678 Patent”)).

14 **I. STATUS OF THE IPR PROCEEDINGS**

15           On July 15, 2014, Cisco filed a petition at the United States Patent & Trademark  
16 Office (Patent Office) for inter partes review (IPR2014-01166) on the ’368 patent. Cisco filed  
17 a petition (IPR2014-01276) on the ’678 patent less than a month later. The two IPR petitions  
18 addressed every asserted claim against each of the Defendants. The Patent Office joined  
19 Ciena, FNC, and Coriant in these two IPR proceedings. The Patent Office issued Final  
20 Written Decisions on January 28, 2016 in IPR2014-01166 and on February 17, 2016 in  
21 IPR2014-01276. The Patent Office found unpatentable every claim asserted in this litigation.  
22 Capella filed requests for rehearing in both IPRs. The Patent Office denied the requests for  
23 rehearing. Capella filed a notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

24           On August 24, 2015, the Patent Office instituted FNC’s inter partes review (IPR2015-  
25 00726) involving the ’368 patent and FNC’s inter partes review (IPR2015-00727) involving  
26 the ’678 patent. The Patent Office joined Ciena and Coriant in these two IPR proceedings.  
27 The Patent Office issued Final Written Decisions on September 28, 2016 in IPR2015-00726  
28

1 and IPR2015-00727. The Patent Office found unpatentable every claim asserted in this  
2 litigation. Capella filed notices of appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

3 On August 25, 2015, the Patent Office instituted inter partes review (IPR2015-00731)  
4 for the '368 patent and inter partes review (IPR2015-00739) for the '678 patent based on  
5 petitions filed by non-party JDS Uniphase Corporation (JDSU) (now called "Lumentum").  
6 The Patent Office joined Cisco, Ciena, FNC, and Coriant in these two IPR proceedings. The  
7 Patent Office issued Final Written Decisions on September 29, 2016 in IPR2015-00731 and  
8 on October 14, 2016 in IPR2015-00739. The Patent Office found unpatentable every claim  
9 asserted in this litigation. Capella filed notices of appeal to the Court of Appeals for the  
10 Federal Circuit.

11 On December 15, 2016, the Federal Circuit consolidated the appeals of the above-  
12 identified IPR proceedings. On February 13, 2017, Capella submitted its opening brief.  
13 Appellees have not yet submitted responsive briefing.

14 **II. STIPULATED REQUEST TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT**  
15 **CONFERENCE**

16 Given the status of the pending appeals, the Parties propose and stipulate to continuing  
17 the Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for March 23, 2017 (Dkt. No. 179),  
18 until September 28, 2017, or such other date as the Court may wish. The Parties further  
19 propose and stipulate to filing an updated joint status report on September 21, 2017. A  
20 [Proposed] Order continuing the Case Management Conference in light of the foregoing  
21 stipulation is attached hereto.

1  
2 Dated: March 16, 2017

MANATT, PHELPS, & PHILLIPS, LLP

3 By: /s/ Robert D. Becker w/ permission  
4 Robert D. Becker  
5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
6 *Capella Photonics, Inc.*

7  
8 Dated: March 16, 2017

BAKER BOTTS LLP

9 /s/ Sarah J. Guske w/ permission  
10 Sarah J. Guske (SBN 232467)  
11 101 California Street, 30th Fl., Suite 3070  
12 San Francisco, CA 94111  
13 Telephone: (415) 291-6205  
14 Facsimile: (415) 291-6305

15 Wayne O. Stacy (*pro hac vice*)  
16 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 200  
17 Dallas, TX 75201  
18 Telephone: (214) 953-6678  
19 Facsimile: (214) 661-4678

20 *Attorneys for Defendant CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.*

21 /s/ Nathaniel T. Browand  
22 Mark C. Scarsi (SBN 183926)  
23 [mscarsi@milbank.com](mailto:mscarsi@milbank.com)  
24 MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY  
25 & McCLOY LLP  
26 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor  
27 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
28 Tel: (424) 386-4000  
Fax: (213) 629-5063

Nathaniel T. Browand\*  
[nbrowand@milbank.com](mailto:nbrowand@milbank.com)  
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY  
& McCLOY LLP  
28 Liberty Street  
New York, NY 10005  
Tel: (212) 530-5000  
Fax: (212) 530-5219  
\* admitted pro hac vice

*Attorneys for Defendant,  
Fujitsu Network Communications, Inc.*

1 By: /s/ J. Pieter van Es w/ permission  
2 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
3 COLIN T. KEMP (SBN 215408)  
4 [colin.kemp@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:colin.kemp@pillsburylaw.com)  
5 STEPHEN E. BERGE (SBN 274329)  
6 [stephen.berge@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:stephen.berge@pillsburylaw.com)  
7 4 Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor  
8 San Francisco, CA 94111  
9 Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
10 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

11 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
12 V. BRYAN MEDLOCK, JR.\*  
13 [bmedlock@bannerwitcoff.com](mailto:bmedlock@bannerwitcoff.com)  
14 J. PIETER VAN ES (CBN 250524)  
15 [pvanes@bannerwitcoff.com](mailto:pvanes@bannerwitcoff.com)  
16 THOMAS K. PRATT\*  
17 [tpratt@bannerwitcoff.com](mailto:tpratt@bannerwitcoff.com)  
18 TIMOTHY J. RECHTIEN\*  
19 [trechtien@bannerwitcoff.com](mailto:trechtien@bannerwitcoff.com)  
20 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000  
21 Chicago, IL 60606  
22 Telephone: (312) 463-5000  
23 Facsimile: (312) 463-5001  
24 \* admitted pro hac vice

25 *Attorneys for Defendants Coriant Operations, Inc.*  
26 *(formerly Tellabs Operations, Inc.) and Coriant*  
27 *(USA) Inc.*

28 /s/ Michelle P. Woodhouse w/ permission  
Michelle P. Woodhouse (Bar No. 260669)  
E-mail: [michelle.woodhouse@lw.com](mailto:michelle.woodhouse@lw.com)  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
140 Scott Drive  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Matthew Moore\*  
E-mail: [matthew.moore@lw.com](mailto:matthew.moore@lw.com)  
Elizabeth Johnson\*  
E-mail: [elizabeth.johnson@lw.com](mailto:elizabeth.johnson@lw.com)  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20004  
202-637-2200

Clement Naples\*  
E-mail: [clement.naples@lw.com](mailto:clement.naples@lw.com)  
Chi Cheung\*  
E-mail: [chi.cheung@lw.com](mailto:chi.cheung@lw.com)  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
885 Third Ave  
New York, NY 10022  
212-906-1200  
\* pro hac vice

*Attorneys for Defendant Ciena Corporation*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I, Nathaniel T. Browand, attest  
2 that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other  
3 signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America  
4 that the foregoing is true and correct.

5 Executed on March 16, 2017.

6 /s/ Nathaniel T. Browand  
7 Nathaniel T. Browand  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Pursuant to the Parties' stipulated request to continue the March 23, 2017 Case  
2 Management Conference, and for good cause, it is so Ordered.

- 3 1. The Case Management Conference is continued until September 28, 2017.  
4 2. The parties shall submit an updated joint status report on September 21, 2017.

5  
6 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

7  
8  
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 HON. EDWARD CHEN  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28